Application No. 09/582,049

RECEIVED IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In Re Patent Application

Group Art Unit: 1754

OCT 28 2005

Gamier, et al.

Serial Number: 09/582,049

Examiner: Nguyen, Ngoc Yen M.

:

Filed: September 1, 2000

Attn. Docket No.: Garnier-1

:

Title: Silicon Refining Method and

Installation

Date: October 28, 2005

:

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Sir:

This paper requests reconsideration of the decision dated June 28, 2005, dismissing the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on May 23, 2005, to revive the above-captioned application. A petition for a two (2) extension of time to extend the due date for requesting reconsideration of the decision to October 28, 2005, is included herewith.

The decision states that the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on May 23, 2005, to revive the above-captioned application (the petition to revive) was dismissed because it lacked the requisite reply under 37 CFR 1.113, e.g., a request for continued examination (RCE), an amendment that *prima facie* placed the application in condition for allowance, or a Notice of Appeal.

In order to correct this deficiency, submitted herewith is an RCE and an amendment and response to the Office Action dated September 10, 2002. These papers meet the reply requirement under 37 CFR 1.113. Also submitted herewith is a copy of the petition to revive filed on May 23, 2005.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of the decision dated June 28, 2005, dismissing the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on May 23, 2005, to revive the above-captioned application, is respectfully requested.

PTN\128988.1

Application No. 09/582,049

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17, which are associated with this communication, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2061.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL A. SCHWARZ PTO Reg. No. 37,577

Duane Morris LLP P.O. Box 5203 Princeton, NJ 08543-5203 (609) 631-2446 (phone) (609) 631-2401 (fax)

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)			Docket No. Garnier-1					
In Re Application Of: Garnier								
Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.			
09/582,049	9/18/2000	Ngọc Yen M. Nguyen	28581	1754	6845			
invention: Stlic	Invention: STLICON REFINING METHOD AND INSTALLATION							
				•				
		Attention: Office of Petition Mail Stop Petition	_					
		COMMISSIONER FOR PATE P.O. Box 1450	<u>NTS</u>	•				
NOTE: If in		Alexandria, VA 22313-145						
	rmation or assista rmation at (703) 305	nce is needed in completing thi -9282.	s form, please	contact Petition	s			
The above-identifie	d application became	se abandoned for failure to file	a timely and	proper response	to a notice or			
	n wid Hadelialk C	ffice. The date of abandonmer action plus any extension of the	ant in the day		tion date of the			
		BY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL						
NOTE: A gr	antable petition requ	ires the following items:						
(1) (2)	Petition fee; Reply and/or issi	ue fee:						
(3)	Terminal disclain	ner with disclaimer feerequired	i for all utility ar	nd plant applicat	ions			
filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.								
•		- Train and The state of the st						
1. 🛭 A proposed	reply to the above-i	dentified notice or action:						
☑ is enclosed. ☐ was filed on								
The proposed reply is in the form of:								
2. The issue fe								
☐ is enclo	sed. 🔲 was p	pald on		-				
3. The abandoned application was a:								
design application. 🗵 utility application. 🗆 plant application.								
4. A terminal d	isolaimer (and fee) d							
(and too) distributing a period equivalent to the period of abandonment is enclosed.								
5. Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.								

Petition F	or Revival Of An A	pplication For Patent Abar	ndoned	JUCI : 8 38	99
Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)			111	Docket No. Garmier-1	
in Re Application	Of: Garnier				
Application No. 09/582,049	Filing Date 9/18/2000	Examiner Ngoc Yen M. Nguyen	Customer No. 28581	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No
Invention: SILIC	ON REFINING MET	HOD AND INSTALLATION			0075
	•	Calculation and Payment			
Enclosed are the foll	Minn foos	eriesidaen and Payment	or rees		
	under 37 CFR 1.17(\$1,500.00
7. Fee for am	endment in the amou	nt of:			
8. 🗵 Fee for exte	ension of time to resp	ond to Office Action in the ar	nount of:		\$1,020.00
9. 🔲 Issue fee in	the amount of:	•			51,020.00
10. Continuing	application filing fee i	n the amount of:			
.•	sclaimer fee in the an				
12, 🗀		ount of.			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•	!	Total fe	es enclosed:	52,520.00
	•				
The fee of \$2,52	······································				
☐ A check in the : ☐ The Director is	amount of the fee is a	enclosed.			
		charge any fees which may	be required, or c	redit any overpay	ment, to
Payment by cre	edit card. Form PTO-2	2038 is attached.		•	
included on th	is form. Provide cre	m may become public. Credit card information and a	edit card information on a	ation should no PTO-2038.	t be
					.
	•				1
			· .		. 1

Petition F Unint	or Revival Of An A entionally Under 3	pplication For Patent Abanc 7 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity	loned y)	111	ocket No.		
In Re Application Of: Garnier							
Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.		
09/582,049	9/18/2000	Ngoc Yen M. Nguyen	28581	1754	6845		
Invention: SILICON REFINING METHOD AND INSTALLATION							
		·					
		Calculation and Payment o	f Fees				
Enclosed are the fol	lowing fees:				·		
6. Petition fe	e under 37 CFR 1.17	(m) in the amount of:					
7. Fee for amendment in the amount of:					\$1,500.00		
8. See for extension of time to respond to Office Action in the amount of:					\$1,020.00		
9. 🔲 Issue fee i	9. Ssue fee in the amount of:						
10. Continuing application filing fee in the amount of:							
11. Terminal disclaimer fee in the amount of:							
12.							
		;	Total fe	ees enclosed:	\$2,520.00		
	•						
The fee of \$2,5	v para	1	•				
	amount of the fee is		•				
Deposit Accou	s nereby authorized ant No. 50-2061	to charge any fees which may	be required, or a	redit any overpa	ayment, to		
☐ Payment by ca	redit card. Form PTC	0-2038 is attached.					
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.							
		and anotherion and at	ингонканоп ол	71 0- 2038.			
	,	; ;					
			٠.				

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)			Docket No. Garnier-1			
In Re Application Of: Garnier						
Application No. 09/582,049	Filing Date 9/18/2000	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.			
Invention: SILICON REFINING METHOD AND INSTALLATION						
NOTE: If	information or assista	Attention: Office of Petition Mail Stop Petition COMMISSIONER FOR PATI P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-14 nce is needed in completing the	<u>ENTS</u> 50	contact Belition		
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to a notice or action by the Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.						
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer feerequired for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.						
		dentified notice or action:				
2. 🔲 The issue					·	
	ndoned application was		application.			
 4. A terminal disclaimer (and fee) disclaiming a period equivalent to the period of abandonment is enclosed. 5. Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. 						

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)					Docket No.			
In Re Application (, and size (a) (some a	<u></u>	Ga	rnier-1			
	T	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>				
Application No. 09/582,049	Filing Date 9/18/2000	Examiner Ngoc Yen M. Nguyen	Customer No. 28581	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.			
Invention: SILIC								
			•					
		Statement						
The entire of	delay in filing the rec	Quired reply from the due date for	or the required r	eply until the filir	of a grantable			
petition under 37 Cr	FR 1.137(b) was uni	ntentional.	·	70.7	·8 • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			•					
	•							
	•		•					
-)n n							
· - H	Y		Dated: 5/20/2	^^=				
Paul A. Schwarz	Signature		Daigu. Juyin	005				
Reg. No. 37,577 Duane Morris LLP		i	[-		•			
P.O. Box 5203 Princeton, NJ 08543- 609-631-2446	-5203		I hereby certify deposited with a sufficient postage addressed to "M Patents, P.O. Box CFR 1.8(a)] on	that this correspondence United States as first class maillist Stop Petition, 1450, Alexandria, 1	pondence is being Postal Service with lall in an envelope Commissioner for VA 22313-1450" [37]			
			5/20/2005 (Pate)	in Sheli	*/			
c:			Signature o	of Person Mailing Con Susan Barlett	respondence			
		ľ	ł	CAPAIL DALIGIT				

Typed or Printed Name of Person Malling Correspondence

Sec. 1

PTO/SB/s0 (04-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Instruction Sheet for RCEs

(not to be submitted to the USPTO)

NOTES:

An RCE is not a new application, and filing an RCE will not result in an application being accorded a new filing date.

Filing Qualifications:

The application must be a utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995. The application cannot be a provisional application, a utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, a design application, or a patent under reexamination. See 37 CFR 1.114(e).

Filing Requirements:

Prosecution in the application must be closed. Prosecution is closed if the application is under appeal, or the last Office action is a final action, a notice of allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application (e.g., an Office action under *Ex parte Quayle*). See 37 CFR 1.114(b).

A submission and a fee are required at the time the RCE is filed. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding (e.g., the application is under final rejection), the submission must meet the reply requirements of 37 CFR 1.111. If there is no outstanding Office action, the submission can be an information disclosure statement, an amendment, new arguments, or new evidence. See 37 CFR 1.114(c). The submission may be a previously filed amendment (e.g., an amendment after final rejection).

WARNINGS:

Request for Suspension of Action:

All RCE filing requirements must be met before suspension of action is granted. A request for a suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103(c) does <u>not</u> satisfy the submission requirement and does not permit the filing of the required submission to be suspended.

Improper RCE will NOT toll Any Time Period:

Before Appeal - If the RCE is improper (e.g., prosecution in the application is not closed or the submission or fee has not been filed) and the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the last Office action will continue to run and the application will be abandoned after the statutory time period has expired if a reply to the Office action is not timely filed. No additional time will be given to correct the improper RCE.

Under Appeal - If the RCE is improper (e.g., the submission or the fee has not been filed) and the application is under appeal, the improper RCE is effective to withdraw the appeal. Withdrawal of the appeal results in the allowance or abandonment of the application depending on the status of the claims. If there are no allowed claims, the application is abandoned. If there is at least one allowed claim, the application will be passed to issue on the allowed claim(s). See MPEP 1215.01.

See MPEP 706.07(h) for further information on the RCE practice.